#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

				1 37 1
To:				PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/GB2005/000227	Internationa 21.01.200		ay/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 21.01.2004	
International Patent Classification ( C04B28/00	IPC) or both national	classification	and IPC	
Applicant MIX U.K. LIMITED				
1. This opinion contains it	ndications relating	to the follo	owing items:	
⊠ Box No. I Basis o	f the opinion			•
☑ Box No. II Priority	- <b> </b>			
	tablishment of opini	ion with rega	ard to novelty, invent	ive step and Industrial applicability
	unity of invention	_		
⊠ Boy No. V Beason	red statement unde	r Rule 43 <i>bis</i> explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial stement
☐ Box No. VI Certain	documents cited			
	defects in the inter			
☑ Box No. VIII Certain	observations on th	e internation	nal application	
2. FURTHER ACTION				
If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply we the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				e chosen IPEA has notifed the
automit in the 105A caucit	ten ranky together i	Mhere annit	obriate, with amendm	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to lents, before the expiration of three n of 22 months from the priority date,
For further options, see	Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see n	otes to Form PCT//	SA/220.		
1				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>a</u>

European Patent Office • P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rij≤wijk • Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 • 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 • 3016

Dalkafouki, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3712



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000227

	Box N		Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	egaro ngua(	I to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la (t	ingua inder	Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egaro sary	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of n	naterial:
		as	equence listing
		tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat o	f material:
		in v	written format
		in (	computer readable form
	c. tim	e of f	iling/furnishing:
		CO	ntained in the international application as filed.
		file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		l fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3		has b copie	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional s is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.
4	. Addi	tional	comments:
-	Вох	No. I	1 Priority
1		does requi assu	validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where red, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the mption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2		hank	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3	3. Add	itiona	l observations, if necessary:

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000227

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2005/000227

#### Re Item V.

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 92/08679 A (GUELS, VOLKER) 29 May 1992 (1992-05-29)

#### 2. NOVELTY:

The document D1 discloses (claim 1) air-drying binders based on polybutadiene, aliphatic hydrocarbons p.ex. test benzine and /or turpentine.

As turpentine pine oil is used (claim 10).

The presence of the aliphatic hydrocarbon solvent and the turpentine regulates the viscosity and the drying time of the binder (p. 7, l. 28-p.8, l. 2)

The binders further contain driers which are organometallic salts of cobalt, lead, magnesium and /or manganese (claims 5,6).

These binders are mixed with building materials as sand, glass, cement etc, and these products are used for producing amongst others consolidate areas such as sidewalks, backyard paving (claims 14-18).

Accordingly the present application does not meet the criteria of Art. 33 (1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-16 is not new in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.

#### Re item VIII.

#### **CLARITY:**

1. The terms "base material and binder" used in claims 1 and 16 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer.

The terms "cross-linking agent, solvent and cure retarder" used in claims 1 and 16 are very broad and they lack support in the description.

Hence, the definition of the subject-matter of claims 1 and 16 is unclear, Article 6 PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000227

2. Claims 14 and 15 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.